2/28/2010

Application: Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

## Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

	rsion # APP # 700596	
--	----------------------	--

### A. Statement of Activity or Product

This project will develop an internet-based system for delivering designated motorized route information to the public. OHV users will register and navigate an easy-to-use interface of available route data to print maps and download routes into GPS units.

A data exchange standard will be developed to facilitate the receipt and processing of data from the participating state and federal land management agencies, and cities and counties.

As route designations are changed by the participating agencies, the route data will be update according to the exchange standard. Members of the public that previously downloaded the changed route data will be auto-notified of the route data update.

Route data will be viewable on Google Maps and made available for download by the public as individual routes or as sets of routes.

Route data could be shared periodically with commercial GPS route data providers to ensure that accurate motorized route data is offered to the motoring public.

The initial route data sets that will be served by the system will be limited to those in and around the greater Jawbone Canyon/East Kern area. The internet-based system, however, will allow scalable to accommodate future conforming data sets from agencies in other regions of the State.

To alert the OHV users of this online resource, Friends of Jawbone will make us of its communications outreach program which includes internet and traditional advertising, social networking notices, and news wire campaigns.

Those using the route database system will be prompted to register and set an array of communications preferences that will include periodic messages on topics of education and safety.

### B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

The goals of this project are:

- To enhance the safety of the recreating public by providing accurate OHV route information
- To protect sensitive resources by encouraging on-route travel by OHV users
- To sustain the public's existing OHV recreation opportunities through increased compliance with route designations

### C. Identification of Needs

Recent route re-designation efforts by land management agencies have resulted in uncertainty among the public regarding legal motorized travel on public lands. Many of these re-designation efforts have not yet yielded route maps for the public. In some areas the results of these route re-designation efforts have been successfully challenged in court, resulting in great confusion among OHV users over the legal route network.

Current resources used by the public are inaccurate from the standpoint of OHV route designation, including the base maps for GPS devices, USGS maps, and previous edition agency issued maps, i.e. Desert Access Guides, which are still sold.

Although the use of GPS technology and the internet increases among the public, the route data that is offered by existing resources often depicts routes that are closed to motorized travel.

Version # Page: 1 of 12

Application: Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

The consequences of a motoring public that does not adhere to the designated route network are: damage to resources; route closures; and a loss of recreational and other opportunities for the public. In some cases, the consequences impact public safety.

Printed maps are only a snap-shot in time and unless regularly updated become outdated shortly after they are printed. Printed maps are lessening in popularity among the public, while electronic guidance is increasing in use.

Lastly, there does not exist a central repository of currently designated routes for motorized travel. Accurate route information cannot be readily obtained in digital format via the internet.

This project will be a ground-breaking response to each of these troubling deficiencies in public information. In addition to traditional OHV recreation, this project will help several recreational activities use motorized vehicles, such as: hunting; gem & mineral collecting; camping; wildlife watching; history seeking; picnic spaces; and star gazing

## D. Location of Training Services

The public will have free, 24/7 on-demand access to the OHV route database from any internet connected computer or smart phone: home, office, school, almost anywhere.

### E. OHV Safety, Environmental Responsibility, and Respect Private Property

Off-route travel can increase an OHV user's exposure to mining hazards such as open shafts and pits, contaminated soils, and unmaintained road surfaces. It can also lead to ground conditions beyond an OHV user's riding skill, and can result in disorientation and getting lost. The project teaches OHV safety by encouraging users to remain on designated routes.

Often environmental resources are negatively impacted, sometimes critically, by off-route travel. Habitat can be disrupted, soils can be marred, and cultural resources damaged or destroyed due to off-route travel. This project teaches environmental responsibility by encouraging users to remain on designated routes.

Private property, particularly that adjacent to public lands, often suffers from non designated OHV use. For some OHV users, the trespass occurs because they are unaware of the appropriate designated route for motorized use and the legal riding areas in which to operate.

This project teaches respect for private property by encouraging users to remain on designated routes.

\_\_\_\_\_

Version # Page: 2 of 12

## **Additional Documentation**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # APP # 700596	
---------------------------------------------	--

- **Optional Project-Specific Application Documents** 1
- 2 **Optional Project-specific Maps**

Version #

Page: 3 of 12

# Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: Friends of Jawbone Application: Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

## **Project Cost Estimate**

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	_		APP #		
APPLI	CANT NAME :	Friends of Jawbone						
PROJE	ECT TITLE :	Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated M (FINAL)	ap and GPS Web base	System for Ro	utes/Trail	PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only) :	G09-04-13-S01	
PROJE	ECT TYPE :	Acquisition	☐ Development		Educati	on & Safety	Ground Ope	rations
		Law Enforcement	Planning		Restora	ation		
PROJE	ECT DESCRIPTION :	This project will develop an internet-ba an easy-to-use interface of available round and a exchange standard will be developed agencies, and cities and counties. As route designations are changed by that previously downloaded the change Route data will be viewable on Google Route data could be shared periodicall public.  The initial route data sets that will be scinternet-based system, however, will also alert the OHV users of this online retraditional advertising, social networking Those using the route database system topics of education and safety.	oute data to print maps a eloped to facilitate the re- the participating agenci- ed route data will be auto- Maps and made availancy with commercial GPS erved by the system will allow scalable to accommensesource, Friends of Jaw g notices, and news wire	eceipt and proce es, the route da co-notified of the ble for download route data prove I be limited to the nodate future co- bone will make re campaigns.	essing of data ata will be upon the route data und d by the publications to ensu- viders to ensu- nose in and an penforming data us of its com-	from the participating state according to the excludate.  It as individual routes or a rethat accurate motorize round the greater Jawbor a sets from agencies in comunications outreach pro-	ate and federal land hange standard. Me as sets of routes. ed route data is offe ne Canyon/East Ke other regions of the ogram which include	management embers of the public red to the motoring rn area. The State.
	Line Item		Qty	Rate l	JOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIREC	T EXPENSES					<b>.</b>	•	
Progra	ım Expenses							
1	Staff							
	Other-Project Manage	er	963.000	50.000 H	HRS	0.00	48,150.00	48,150.00
	Other-Lead Programr	ner	700.000	55.000 H	HRS	38,500.00	0.00	38,500.00

# Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: Friends of Jawbone Application: Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
	Other-Jr. Programmer	700.000	40.000	HRS	28,000.00	0.00	28,000.00
	Other-GIS Specialist	700.000	55.000	HRS	38,000.00	500.00	38,500.00
	Other-Lead Designer	350.000	45.000	HRS	15,750.00	0.00	15,750.00
	Other-Jr. Designer	350.000	30.000	HRS	10,500.00	0.00	10,500.00
	Other-GIS Technician	1575.000	30.000	HRS	47,250.00	0.00	47,250.00
	Other-Internet/Web Manager	80.000	30.000	HRS	0.00	2,400.00	2,400.00
	Total for Staff				178,000.00	51,050.00	229,050.00
2	Contracts						
3	Materials / Supplies						
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
5	Equipment Purchases						
	Other-WEB Servers	2.000	5000.000	EA	10,000.00	0.00	10,000.00
	Other-Server Software	2.000	3000.000	EA	6,000.00	0.00	6,000.00
	Total for Equipment Purchases				16,000.00	0.00	16,000.00
6	Others						
	Other-WEB Server -Hosting	2.000	3000.000	EA	6,000.00	0.00	6,000.00
7	Indirect Costs						
	Indirect Costs-Program administrative co	1.000	20000.000	YR	0.00	20,000.00	20,000.00
Total	Program Expenses				200,000.00	71,050.00	271,050.00
ТОТА	L DIRECT EXPENSES				200,000.00	71,050.00	271,050.00
ТОТА	L EXPENDITURES				200,000.00	71,050.00	271,050.00

# Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: Friends of Jawbone Application: Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative			
DIRI	RECT EXPENSES							
Prog	gram Expenses							
1	Staff	178,000.00	51,050.00	229,050.00				
2	Contracts	0.00	0.00	0.00				
3	Materials / Supplies	0.00	0.00	0.00				
4	Equipment Use Expenses	0.00	0.00	0.00				
5	Equipment Purchases	16,000.00	0.00	16,000.00				
6	Others	6,000.00	0.00	6,000.00				
7	Indirect Costs	0.00	20,000.00	20,000.00				
Total Program Expenses		200,000.00	71,050.00	271,050.00				
тот	AL DIRECT EXPENSES	200,000.00	71,050.00	271,050.00				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		200,000.00	71,050.00	271,050.00				

Page: 6 of 12

## **Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)**

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700596				
	ITEM 1 and	ITFM 2						
	ITEM 1							
a.		las a CEQA Notice of Determir lect Yes or No)	nation (NOD) been	filed for the Project?	C	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2							
b.	document	proposed Project include a requipreparation prior to implementated Project pursuant to Section	ing the remaining F	Project Deliverables (i.e., is it	С	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 3 - Pro	oject under CEQA Guidelines	Section 15378					
C.		re the proposed activities a "Plect Yes or No)	roject" under CEQA	A Guidelines Section 15378?	C	Yes	•	No
d.	and ensure	ation is requesting funds solely e public safety. These activities nt and are thus not a "Project"	would not cause a	any physical impacts on the	C	Yes	•	No
e.		lain why proposed activities wo under CEQA. DO NOT compl	<u>-</u>	physical impacts on the envir	onm	nent and	are 1	thus not
		t does not involve any ground /development using PC based		and is all accomplished via e	lect	ronic		
	ITEM 4 - Imp	oact of this Project on Wetla	nds					
	ITEM 5 - Cui	mulative Impacts of this Pro	ject					
	ITEM 6 - Soi	il Impacts						
	ITEM 7 - Daı	mage to Scenic Resources						
	ITEM 8 - Haz	zardous Materials						
		osed Project Area located on a 962.5 of the California Govern or No)			C	Yes	C	No
		scribe the location of the hazar inimize or avoid the hazards.	d relative to the Pro	oject site, the level of hazard a	and	the meas	sure	s to be
	ITEM 9 - Pot	tential for Adverse Impacts t	o Historical or Cu	Itural Resources				
		proposed Project have potenti r cultural resources? (Please		al adverse impacts to	C	Yes	C	No
	Discuss the resources.	e potential for the proposed Pr	oject to have any s	ubstantial adverse impacts to	hist	orical or	cultu	ural

Page: 7 of 12 Version #

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS) for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010
Applicant: Friends of Jawbone
Application: Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

**ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts** 

**CEQA/NEPA Attachment** 

Page: 8 of 12 Version #

## **Evaluation Criteria**

1.

2.

3.

4.

Sequoia National Forest

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700596
	Evaluation Criteria - Q 1.		
	The Applicant is applying for the following from list)	ng type of Project: (Che	ck the one most appropriate.) (Please select one
	Education – Applicants shall only in the control of the control	respond to items 1, 2, 4	, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11
	Safety – Applicants shall only resp	oond to items 1, 2, 4, 5,	6 ,12, 13, 14, and 15
1.	As calculated on the Project Cost Estim Applicant is 3	nate, the percentage of t	he cost of the Project covered by the
	(Note: This field will auto-populate once one from list)	the Cost Estimate and	Evaluation Criteria are Validated.) (Please select
	76% or more (10 points)	0.5	1% - 75% (5 points)
	26% - 50% (3 points)	02	5% (Match minimum) (No points)
	Evaluation Criteria - Q 2.		
2.	For Applicant's OHV Grant Projects wh the last two years, the percentage of all		
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Plea	ase select one from list)	
	100% of Deliverable accomplished	d (5 points)	
	C 75% to 99% of Deliverables accor	mplished (3 points)	
	C Less than 75% of Deliverables acc	complished (No points)	
	First time Applicants and past App	olicants with no active G	rant projects within the last two years (2 points)
	Evaluation Criteria - Q 3. (FOR DIVISIO	ON USE ONLY)	
3.	Previous Year Performance		
	(FOR DIVISION USE ONLY) (Check the	e one most appropriate)	(Please select one from list)
	In the previous year the Applicant OHMVR Grant Administrator by pl		nd communicated effectively with the assigned visit (3 points)
	First time Applicants and past App	olicants with no active G	rant projects within the last two years (2 points)
	In the previous year the Applicant	has not been responsiv	e (No points)
	Evaluation Criteria - Q 4.		
4.	The Project will utilize partnerships to s organizations that will participate in the	• •	the Project. The number of partner
	(Check the one most appropriate.) (Ple	ase select one from list	)
	4 or more (4 points)	02	to 3 (2 points)
	C 1 (1 point)	Ch	lone (No points)
	List partner organization(s)		
	Bureau of Land Management, Ridgecre	est Field Office	
	City of California City		
	Kern County Board of Supervisors, Dist	trict 1 and 2	
	Red Rock Canyon State Park		

Page: 9 of 12 Version #

2/28/2010

#### 5. Evaluation Criteria - Q 5.

5.	The Project addresses the following types of OHV Recreation	6
	The fire of the second state of the second sta	•

(Check all that apply.) Scoring: 1 point each (Please select applicable values)
 ✓ 4X4
 ✓ M.C.
 ✓ Recreation Utility Vehicle (RUV)

✓ Snowmobile ✓ Dune buggy, rail

Other (Specify)

#### 6. Evaluation Criteria - Q 6.

6. The Project was developed with public input employing the following 2

(Check all that apply) Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values)

✓ Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point)

☑ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)

✓ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)

Explain each statement that was checked

A White Paper designed to solicit comments and suggestions was created in August 2009 and circulated at several meetings: BLM Ridgecrest Steering Committee, which includes stakeholders and members of the public; BLM Desert District Advisory Council, which includes stakeholders and members of the public at large, and whose meetings are noticed in the Federal Register; BLM Desert District OHV Leadership group, which includes OHV stakeholders; and Friends of Jawbone, which includes stakeholders and members of the public.

Other consultation meetings & conference calls included: the GIS team of the BLM California State Office; a GIS task force of the OHMVR Division; BLM Desert District Manager.

### 7. Evaluation Criteria - Q 7. (Education Project ONLY)

7. The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

✓ Process of researching issues and audience (2 points)

✓ Objectives (2 points)

▼ Testing process to ensure actions are effective (2 points)

Plan to implement the Project (2 points)

☑ Evaluation and feedback of the process (2 points)

Explain each statement that was checked

The goals/objectives of this project are:

- To enhance the safety of the recreating public by providing accurate OHV route information
- To protect sensitive resources by encouraging on-route travel by OHV users
- To sustain the public's existing OHV recreation opportunities through increased compliance with route designations.

Results will be tested in accordance with:

- website traffic statistics, including registrations, page views, and data downloads
- agency participation and route coverage
- official and anecdotal visitor safety data
- agency data on area compliance with route designations
- effectiveness of area restoration projects

The project will include the development of a system deployment plan including time-lines and benchmarks.

The online system will include a robust feedback module for users to regularly comment on the project and to measure satisfaction. Meetings will be conducted with agency officials to solicit comments and suggestions regarding all aspects of the project.

Version # Page: 10 of 12

2/28/2010

8.

9.

8.		Evaluation Criteria - Q 8. (Education Projec	t ONLY)				
	8. Total number of times individuals are exposed to the message 4						
		(Check the one most appropriate.) (Please se	elect one from list)				
		Greater than 10,000 (4 points)	C 1,000 to 10,000 (3 points)				
		C 100 to 1,000 (2 points)	© 20 to 100 (1 point)				
		© 0 to 20 (No points)					
		Explain checked statement:					
		-	cess to the OHV route database from any internet connected				
		computer or smart phone: home, office, scho					
			se to each of these troubling deficiencies in public information. In ject will help several recreational activities use motorized vehicles				
		-	amping; wildlife watching; history seeking; picnic spaces; and star				
		gazing					
9.		Evaluation Criteria - Q 9. (Education Projec	t ONLY)				
	9.	Total time a participant will have exposure to the Project's message or training 2					
(Check the one item of highest point value that applies.) (Please select one from list)							
		Greater than 2 hours (4 points)					
		C 1 hour to 2 hours (3 points)					
		5 minutes to less than 1 hour (2 points)					
		1 minute to less than 5 minutes (A Proje	ct for maps will fall under this category) (1 point)				
		C Less than 1 minute (No points)					
10		Evaluation Criteria - Q 10. (Education Proje	ct ONLY)				
	10	. The Project will utilize the following methods	of education 14				
		(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 point each up	to a maximum of 14 points (Please select applicable values)				
		☐ Hands on training	Tool kits				
		✓ Handouts	✓ Events				
		✓ Internet messaging/CDs	✓ Signage				
		Advertising	Radio/TV				
		Community involvement	Other (Specify)				
		Public relations/media					

Explain each statement that was checked

Handouts promoting the existence and usefulness of the system will be disseminated at Jawbone Station, events such as Moose Anderson Days & OHV Safety Days, and in the field by FOJ and agency staff. Internet messaging will include outreach through the FOJ website, FOJ E-News subscriptions, Facebook, and on agency websites. Signs in the area that are erected by FOJ will include references to the online system. The community will respond with periodic information posted on their websites, forums and message boards. FOJ will utilize its membership in PR Newswire to provide information on the system to media outlets. The system will also receive promotion on future printings of the popular Friends of Jawbone Trail Map, now in its 7th printing.

## 11. Evaluation Criteria - Q 11. (Education Project ONLY)

11. The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training 0

(Check the one most appropriate.) (Please select one from list)

Page: 11 of 12 Version #

Evaluation Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 2/28/201 Applicant: Friends of Jawbone Application: Education & Safety - FOJ Integrated Map and GPS Web base System for Routes/Trail (FINAL)

	No (No points)	C Yes (2 points)
	Explain 'Yes' response	
12.	Evaluation Criteria - Q 12. & 13. (Safety Project ONLY)	
12	The Project will utilize personnel trained to the following le	evel
	(Check the one most appropriate.) (Please select one fro	m list)
	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher (5 points)	First Responder level (2 points)
	First Aid and CPR (1 points)	No training (No points)
13	The Project will provide search and rescue as follows	
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from	n list)
	C 24 hours, 7 days per week (5 points)	C Less than 24 hours, 7 days per week (4 points)
	C Less than 24 hours, less than 7 days per week (2 points)	On special occasions/events only (No points)
13.	Evaluation Criteria - Q 14. (Safety Project ONLY)	
14	The Project will have the majority of personnel trained in	the following areas
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points each up to a maximum.	mum of 16 points (Please select applicable values)
	☐ Radio communication	☐ Tracking skills
	☐ Avalanche rescue	Navigation training
	☐ Swift water rescue	ATV certification
	☐ Dog handling	☐ Motorcycle certification
	☐ Rope skills	4 x 4/Off-Road training
	☐ Wilderness search and rescue	☐ Other (Specify)
14.	Evaluation Criteria - Q 15. (Safety Project ONLY)	
	The Project will have resources that are equipped and tra	nined for rescue in the following environmental
.0	conditions*	and for rescue in the following environmental
	(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)	
	□ Type 1 – Extreme Condition (including but not limite Desert, Heat, Heavy ground cover, Steep difficult tel	
	☐ Type 2 – Rugged Terrain. Rugged conditions, Altitude Moderate to heavy ground cover. (2 points)	de (generally under 7000 feet), Heat, Cold concerns,
	□ Type 3 – Moderate/Gentle Terrain. Gently rolling te Agricultural areas. (2 points)	rrain, Open spaces, Maintained trailheads, and
	□ Type 4 – Urban. High traffic, Urban office complexe (2 points)	s, man-made surfaces, Public interaction and Park trails.
	* From the Governor's Office of Emergency Services Highway Vehicles	– Mutual Aid Guidelines, Search and Rescue, Off-

Page: 12 of 12 Version #